Proforma - 1

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire (To be filled by each Student at the time of Course Completion)

	Completion)
Department	Course No
Course Title	Teacher Name:
Year of Study	Semester / Term

University

Logo

Please give us your views so that Course quality can be improved. You are encouraged to be frank and constructive in your comments

CORE QUESTIONS					
Course Content and Organization	Strongly Agree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1. The course objectives were clear					
2. The Course workload was manageable					
3. The Course was well organized (e.g. timely access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)4. Comments					

Student Contribution 5. Approximate level of your own attendance during the whole Course	□<20%	21- 40%	□41- 60%	□61- 80%	□>81%
C	Strongly Agree	Agree	uncertain	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
6. I participated actively in the Course					
7. I think I have made progress in this Course8. Comments					

Learning Environment and Teaching Methods	Strongly Agree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
9. I think the Course was well structured to achieve					
the learning outcomes (there was a good balance of					
lectures, tutorials, practical etc.)					
10. The learning and teaching methods encouraged					
participation.					
11. The overall environment in the class was					
conducive to learning.					
12. Classrooms were satisfactory					
13. Comments					

Learning Resources	Strongly Agree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
14. Learning materials (Lesson Plans, Course Notes					
etc.) were relevant and useful.					
15. Recommended reading Books etc. were relevant					
and appropriate					
16. The provision of learning resources in the library					
was adequate and appropriate					
17. The provision of learning resources on the Web					
was adequate and appropriate (if relevant)					
18 Comments					

Quality of Delivery	Strongly Agree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
19. The Course stimulated my interest and thought on					
the subject area					
20. The pace of the Course was appropriate					
21. Ideas and concepts were presented clearly					
22.Comments					

Assessment	Strongly Agree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
23. The method of assessment were reasonable					
24. Feedback on assessment was timely					
25. Feedback on assessment was helpful					
26. Comments					

Additional Core Questions					
Instructor / Teaching Assistant Evaluation	Strongly Agree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
27. I understood the lectures					
28. The material was well organized and presented					
29. The instructor was responsive to student needs and problems					
30. Had the instructor been regular throughout the course?					
Tutorial	Strongly Agree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
30. The material in the tutorials was useful					
31. I was happy with the amount of work needed for tutorials					
32. The tutor dealt effectively with my problems					

Practical	Strongly Agree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
33. The material in the practicals was useful					
34. The demonstrators dealt effectively with my					
problems.					

Overall Evaluation

35. The best features of the Course were:

36. The Course could have been improved by:

Equal Opportunities Monitoring (Optional)

37. The University does not tolerate discrimination on any irrelevant distinction (e.g. race, age, gender) and is committed to work with diversity in a wholly positive way. Please indicate below anything in relation to this Course which may run counter to this objective:

Demographic Information:	(Optional)		
38. Full/part time study:	F	full Time 🗌	Part Time
39.Do you consider yourself t	o be disabled:	Yes	No 🗌
40. Domicile:			
41.Gender:		Male 🗌	Female
42. Age Group:	less than 22 \square	22-29	□ over 29 □
43. Campus:	Dista	ince Learning/ C	Collaborative

THANK YOU

Faculty Course Review Report (To be filled by each teacher at the time of Course Completion)

University Logo

For completion by the course instructor and transmission to Head of Department of his/her nominee (Dept. Quality Officer) together with copies of the Course Syllabus outline

Department:		·	Faculty:		
Course Code:		Title:			
Session:		Semester:	Autumn 🗌	Spring	Summer
Credit Value:		Level:		Prerequisites:	
Name of Course Instructor:		No. of Students	Lectures	Other (Please S	state)
		Contact Hours	Seminars		
Assessment Methods: give precise details (no & len exams, weightings etc)	gth of assignments,				

Distribution of Grade/Marks and other Outcomes: (adopt the grading system as required)

Undergraduate	Originally Registered	%Grade A	%Grade B	%Grade C	D	E	F	No Grade	Withdrawal	Total
No. of Students										
Post-Graduate	Originally Registered	%Grade A	%Grade B	%Grade C	D	Е	No Grade		Withdrawal	Total
No. of Students										

Overview/Evaluation (Course Co-coordinator's Comments)

Feedback: first summarize, then comment on feedback received from: (These boxes will expand as you type in your answer.)

1) Student (Course Evaluation) Questionnaires

2) External Examiners or Moderators (if any)

3) Student /staff Consultative Committee (SSCC) or equivalent, (if any)

4) Curriculum: comment on the continuing appropriateness of the Course curriculum in relation to the intended learning outcomes (course objectives) and its compliance with the HEC Approved / Revised National Curriculum Guidelines

5) Assessment: comment on the continuing effectiveness of method(s) of assessment in relation to the intended learning outcomes (Course objectives)

6) Enhancement: comment on the implementation of changes proposed in earlier Faculty Course Review Reports

7) Outline any changes in the future delivery or structure of the Course that this semester/term's experience may prompt

Name:		Date:
	(Course Instructor)	
Name:		Date:
	(Head of Department)	

Survey of Graduating Students

(To be filled out by graduating students in last semester / year before the award of degree)

The survey seeks graduating students' input on the quality of education they received in their program and the level of preparation they had at university. The purpose of this survey is to assess the quality of the academic programs. We seek your help in completing this survey.

A: Ver	y satisfied	B: Satisfied	C: Uncertain	D: Dissatisfied	E: Very dissatisfied			
1.	1. The work in the program is educative.							
	А	В	С	D E	2			
2.	The program	is effective in enl	nancing team-wor	king abilities.				
	А	В	С	D E				
3.	The program	administration is	effective in suppo	orting learning.				
	А	В	С	D E				
4.	The program	is effective in dev	veloping analytica	l and problem solv	ving skills.			
	А	В	С	D E				
5.	The program	is effective in dev	veloping independ	ent thinking.				
	А	В	С	D E				
6.	The program	is effective in dev	veloping written c	ommunication skil	lls.			
	А	В	С	D E	:			
7.	The program	is effective in dev	veloping planning	abilities.				
8.	A The objective	B s of the program	C have been fully ac	D E chieved				
9.	A Whether the c	B ontents of curric	C ulum are advanced	D E l and meet program				
	А	В	С	D E				
10.	Faculty was a	ble to meet the p	rogram objectives					
	А	В	С	D E				
11	Environment	was conducive fo	or learning					

11. Environment was conducive for learning

12.	Wheth	A her the Infrastruc	B cture of the depar	C tment w	vas good.	D.	Ε	
13.	Wheth	A er the program v	B was comprised of	C Co-cur	ricular a	D nd extra	E -curricul	ar activities
14.	Wheth	A er scholarships/	B grants were avai	C lable to	students	D in case	E of hardsl	nip
		А	В	С		D	Е	
Answe 9. 10.	The int a. b c. d. e. f g. h.	Ability to work Independent th Appreciation o Professional de Time managen Judgment Discipline The link betwe practice	nce is effective in c in teams inking f ethical Values evelopment nent skills	 (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) 	 cing (B) (B) (B) (B) (B) (B) (B) 	(C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C)	(D) (D) (D) (D) (D) (D) (D)	(E) (E) (E) (E) (E) (E) (E)
11.	What a	spects of your p	rogram could be	improv	ed?			

You may use additional sheets for questions 10 & 11 if needed.

RESEARCH STUDENT PROGRESS REVIEW FORM

University Logo

(To be filled out by Master/ M.Phil / Ph.D Research Students on six monthly basis)

To be submitted by the HoD / Dept. Quality Officer to the QEC

For Research Student to Complete:

- 1. Date of admission to the department
- 2. Date of initiation of research
- 3. Date of completion of Course work
- 4. Number of credit hours completed
- 5. Date of Synopsis Defense
- 6. Cumulative Grade Point Average (CGPA) secured
- 7. Please outline details of progress in your research since your last review (including any research publications):
- 8. Do you have any comments on the level of supervision received?
- 9. What do you plan to achieve over the next 6 months?
- 10. Do you have any comments on generic or subject-specialist training you may have received or would like to receive internally and / or externally?
- 11. Do you have easy access to sophisticated scientific equipment?
- 12. Do you have sufficient research material / commodities available?

Date: _____

Supervisory Committee Comments

(Please comment on and benchmark the student's progress against your University's internal and external HEC Quality Criteria for Master/PhD/MPhil Studies)

Principal Supervisor:	Date:
Co-Supervisor:	Date:

Co-Supervisor:	Date:	
Head of Department Comments:		
Signature:	Date:	
Director, Board of Research Studies (or ec	uivalent) Comments:	
Signature:	Date:	

Dean/Director, QEC Action: (including monitoring of Follow-up action) Date: _____

Faculty Survey

(To be submitted on annual basis by each faculty member)

The Purpose of this survey is to assess faculty members' satisfaction level and the effectiveness of programs in place to help them progress and excel in their profession. We seek your help in completing this survey and the information provided will be kept in confidence. **Indicate how satisfied are you with each of the following aspects of you situation at your department?**

A: Very sati	sfied	B: Satisfied	C: Uncertain	D: Dissatisfied	E: Very dissatisfied.	
1.	Your mix	of research, teac	hing and commu	nity service.		
	А	В	С	D	E	
2.	The intellectual stimulation of your work.					
	А	В	С	D	Е	
3.	Type of te	aching / research	n you currently d	0.		
	А	В	С	D	E	
4.	Your inter	action with stude	ents.			
	А	В	С	D	Е	
5.	Cooperatio	on you receive fr	om colleagues.			
	А	В	С	D	Е	
6.	The mento	oring available to	you.			
	А	В	С	D	Е	
7.	Administra	ative support from	m the department	ıt.		
	А	В	С	D	Е	
8.	Providing	clarity about the	faculty promotion	on process.		
	А	В	С	D	Е	
9.	Your prospects for advancement and progress through ranks.					
	А	В	С	D	Е	
10.	Salary and	compensation p	ackage.			
	А	В	С	D	E	

	Job sec	curity and stabil	ity at the departme	ent.	
	А	В	С	D	Е
12.	Amour	nt of time you h	ave for yourself ar	d family.	
	А	В	С	D	Е
13.	The ov	erall climate at	the department.		
	А	В	С	D	E
14.	Whethe	er the departme	nt is utilizing your	experience and	knowledge
	А	В	С	D	Е
15.			ograms / factors on and job satisfac		ble in your department that
16	Suggest pro	ograms / factors	s that could improv	e vour motivatio	on and job satisfaction?
16.	Suggest pro	ograms / factors	that could improv	e your motivatio	on and job satisfaction?
16.	Suggest pro	ograms / factors	s that could improv	e your motivatio	on and job satisfaction?
16.	Suggest pro	ograms / factors	that could improv	e your motivatio	on and job satisfaction?
16.	Suggest pro	ograms / factors	s that could improv	e your motivatio	on and job satisfaction?
		ograms / factors		e your motivatio	on and job satisfaction?
Inform		t faculty memb		e your motivatio	on and job satisfaction?
Inform	ation about	t faculty memb rank:			
16. Inform i. ii.	aation about Academic 1	t faculty memb rank: or B: Associa		Assistant Profess	

SURVEY OF DEPARTMENT OFFERING Ph.D. PROGRAMS

The following information is required for EACH Department in which a Ph.D. program is offered.

1	General Information:	
1.1	Name of Department	
1.2	Name of Faculty	
	-	
1.3	Date of initiation of Ph.D. program	
1.4	Total number of academic journals subscribed in area relevant to Ph.D. program.	
1.5	Number of Computers available per Ph.D. student	
1.6	Total Internet Bandwidth available to all the students in the Department.	
2	Faculty Resources:	
2.1	Number of faculty members holding Ph.D. degree in the department.	
2.2	Number of HEC approved Ph.D. Advisors in the department.	
3	Research Output:	
3.1	Total number of articles published last year in International Academic Journals that are authored by faculty members and students in the department.	
3.2	Total number of articles published last year in Asian Academic Journals that are authored by faculty members and students in the department.	
3.3	Total number of ongoing research projects in the department funded by different organizations	
3.4	Number of post-graduate students in the department holding scholarships/fellowships.	
3.5	Total Research Funds available to the Department from all sources.	
3.6	Number of active international linkages involving exchange of researchers/students/faculty etc. (Attach Details).	

4	Student Information:	
4.1	Number of Ph.D. degrees conferred to date to students from the Department during the past three academic years.	
4.2	Number of Ph.D. students currently enrolled in the department.	
4.3	Ratio of number of students accepted to total number of applicants for Ph.D. Program.	
5	Program Information	
5.1	Entrance requirements into Ph.D. Program (M.Sc. / M.Phil.) Indicate subjects or M.Sc. / M.Phil.	
5.2	Is your Ph.D. program based on research only? (Y/N)	
5.3	Maximum number of years in which a Ph.D. degree has to be completed after initial date of enrollment in Ph.D. program.	
5.4	Total number of post M.Sc. (16 year equivalent) courses required for Ph.D.	
5.5	Total number of M.Phil. level courses taught on average in a Term / Semester.	
5.6	Total number of Ph.D. level courses taught on average in a Term / Semester.	
5.7	Do your students have to take/write:	
	a. Ph.D. Qualifying examination (Y/N)	
	b. Comprehensive examination (Y/N)	
	c. Research paper in HEC approved Journal	
	d. Any other examination (Y/N)	
5.8	Total number of International examiners to which the Ph.D. dissertation is sent.	
5.9	How is the selection of an examiner from technologically advanced countries carried out?	
5.10	Is there a minimum residency requirement (on campus) for award of Ph.D. degree?	
6	Additional Information	
6.1	Any other information that you would like to provide.	

Alumni Survey

(To be filled by Alumni - after the completion of each academic year)

The purpose of this survey is to obtain alumni input on the quality of education they received and the level of preparation they had at University. The purpose of this survey is to assess the quality of the academic program. We seek your help in completing this survey.

	A: Excellent	B: Very good	C: Good	D: Fair	E: Poo	or
1.	1. Knowledge Math, Science, (A)		professional d D) (E)	iscipline, (if appl	icable)	
2.	()	lation and solvir		(A)	(B)	(C) (D) (E)
3.	Collecting and	analyzing appro	priate data	(A)	(B)	(C) (D) (E)
4.	Ability to link	theory to practic	e.	(A)	(B)	(C) (D) (E)
5.		gn a system com	ponent or proce	ess (A)	(B)	(C) (D) (E)
6.	IT knowledge			(A)	(B)	(C) (D) (E)
1. 2. 3.	II Communica Oral communic Report writing Presentation sk	cation		(A) (A) (A)	(B) (B) (B)	(C) (D) (E) (C) (D) (E) (C) (D) (E)
1. 2. 3. 4.	Independent th	t in teams. t in arduous /Cha	allenging situat	(A) ion (A) (A)	(B) (B) (B)	 (C) (D) (E) (C) (D) (E) (C) (D) (E)
1. 2. 3.		ent /leadership S Fime managemen		(A) (A) (A)	(B) (B) (B)	(C) (D) (E) (C) (D) (E) (C) (D) (E)

V General Comments

Please make any additional comments or suggestions, which you think would help strengthen our programs. (New courses that you would recommend and courses that you did not gain much from)

VI. Career Opportunities

VI	I. Department Status			
1.	Infrastructure	(A)	(B)	(C) (D) (E)
2.	Faculty	(A)	(B)	(C) (D) (E)
3.	Repute at National level	(A)	(B)	(C) (D) (E)
4.	Repute at international level	(A)	(B)	(C) (D) (E)

VIII Alumni Information

1.	Name (Optional)
2.	Name of organization
3.	Position in organization
4.	Year of graduation

Employer Survey

(To be filled in by Employer - after the completion of each academic year)

The purpose of this survey is to obtain employers' input on the quality of education University of _______ is providing and to assess the quality of the academic program. The survey is with regard to University of ______ graduates employed at your organization. We seek your help in completing this survey.

A: Excellent	B: Very good	C: Good	D: Fair	E: Poor

I. Knowledge.

1. Math, Science, H	Iumanities and	professional	discipline,	(if applicable)
---------------------	----------------	--------------	-------------	-----------------

			(A)	(B)	(C)	(D) (E)
	2.	Problem formulation and solving skills	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D) (E)
	3.	Collecting and analyzing appropriate data	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D) (E)
	4.	Ability to link theory to Practice	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D) (E)
	5.	Ability to design a system component or process	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D) (E)
	6.	Computer knowledge.	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D) (E)
II.	Co	ommunication Skills				
	1.	Oral communication	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D) (E)
	2.	Report writing	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D) (E)
	3.	Presentation skills	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D) (E)
III.	Int	terpersonal Skills				
	1.	Ability to work in teams	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D) (E)
	2.	Leadership	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D) (E)
	3.	Independent thinking	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D) (E)
	4.	Motivation	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D) (E)
	5.	Reliability	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D) (E)
	6.	Appreciation of ethical values	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D) (E)
IV.	W	ork skills				
	1.	Time management skills	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D) (E)
	2.	Judgment	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D) (E)
	3.	Discipline	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D) (E)

V. General Comments

Please make any additional comments or suggestions, which you think would help strengthen our programs for the preparation of graduates who will enter your field. Did you know as to what to expect from graduates?

VI. Information About Organization

- 1. Organization Name_____
- 2. Type of Business_____
- 3. Number of Graduates (specify the program) in your Organization:

Faculty Resume

Name			
Personal		s(s) and phone number(s) mation that the candidate i	
Experience	List current appointm Date, Title, Institution	ent first, each entry as follow on.	s:
Honor and Awards	List honors or awards activity.	for scholarship or profession	al
Memberships		n professional and learned g offices held, committees, gnments.	or other
Graduate Students Postdocs Undergraduate Students		graduate students, postdoc ors theses showing:	s and
Honour Students	Years	Degree	Name
	Show other informati on graduate degree co	on as appropriate and list mer ommittees.	nbership
Service Activity	List University and	public service activities.	

Brief Statement of Research Interest	May be as brief as a sentence or contain additional details up to one page in length.			
Publications	 List publications in standard bibliographic format with earliest date first. Manuscripts accepted for publication should be included under appropriate category as "in press;" Segment the list under the following standard headings: Articles published by refereed journals. Books. Scholarly and / or creative activity published through a refereed electronic venue. Contribution to edited volumes. Papers published in refereed conference proceedings. Paper or extended abstracts published in conference proceedings. Articles published in popular press. Articles appearing in in-house organs. Research reports submitted to sponsors. Manuscripts submitted for publication. (include where and when submitted). 			
Research Grants and Contracts.	Entries should include: Date Title Agency / Organization Total Award Amount Segment the list under following headings: Completed • Completed Funded and in progress • In review			
Other Research or Creative Accomplishments	List patents, software, new products developed, etc.			
Selected Professional Presentations				

Teacher Evaluation Form

(To be filled by the student)

Course Title and Number:		
Name of Instructor:	Semester	
Department:	Degree	

Use the scale to answer the following questions below and make comments

A: Strongly Agree B: Agree C: Uncertain D: Disagree E: Strongly Disagree

Instructor:			-		
1. The Instructor is prepared for each class	Α	В	С	D	E
2. The Instructor demonstrates knowledge of the subject	Α	В	С	D	E
3. The Instructor has completed the whole course	А	В	С	D	Е
4. The Instructor provides additional material apart from the	А	В	С	D	Е
textbook					
5. The Instructor gives citations regarding current situations with	Α	В	С	D	Е
reference to Pakistani context.					
6. The Instructor communicates the subject matter effectively	Α	В	С	D	Е
7. The Instructor shows respect towards students and encourages	Α	В	С	D	Е
class participation					
8. The Instructor maintains an environment that is conducive to	Α	В	С	D	Е
learning					
9. The Instructor arrives on time	А	В	С	D	Е
10. The Instructor leaves on time	Α	В	С	D	Е
11. The Instructor is fair in examination	Α	В	С	D	Е
12. The Instructor returns the graded scripts etc. in a reasonable	Α	В	С	D	Е
amount of time					
13. The Instructor was available during the specified office hours and	Α	В	С	D	Е
for after class consultations					
14. Course:					
15. The Subject matter presented in the course has increased your	А	В	С	D	Е
knowledge of the subject					
16. The syllabus clearly states course objectives requirements,	Α	В	С	D	Е
procedures and grading criteria					
17. The course integrates theoretical course concepts with real-world	Α	В	С	D	Е
applications					
18. The assignments and exams covered the materials presented in	Α	В	С	D	Е
the course					
19. The course material is modern and updated	Α	В	С	D	Е

Comments: Instructor:_____

Course:_____